

$$\sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 = \sum (\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2 + \sum e_i^2$$

$$TSS = ESS + RSS$$

$$d.f: (n-1) = (1) + (n-2) \quad [d.f \text{ is additive}]$$

$$ESS = \sum (\hat{Y}_i - \bar{Y})^2 = \sum (\hat{\beta} x_i)^2 = \hat{\beta}^2 \sum x_i^2$$

Here, $\hat{Y}_i = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x_i \dots (i)$

Mean: $\hat{Y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} \bar{x}$

$$\therefore (\hat{Y}_i - \hat{Y}) = \hat{\beta} (x_i - \bar{x}) = \hat{\beta} x_i$$

In the model, we estimate 2 parameters: $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$. But only $\hat{\beta}$ contributes in ESS.

$\therefore d.f \text{ of ESS} = 1.$

$$RSS = \sum e_i^2 = e_1^2 + e_2^2 + \dots + e_n^2$$

\therefore No. of terms = n

No. of restrictions = 2 $[\sum e_i = 0 \ \& \ \sum e_i x_i = 0 \text{ from NE's}]$

\therefore df of RSS = (n-2)

$$TSS = \sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 = (Y_1 - \bar{Y})^2 + (Y_2 - \bar{Y})^2 + \dots + (Y_n - \bar{Y})^2$$

\therefore No. of terms = n

\therefore No. of restrictions = 1 $[\because \sum (Y_i - \bar{Y}) = 0]$

\therefore df of TSS = (n-1)

ANOVA: $TSS = ESS + RSS \rightarrow$ Total unexplained variation in Y.

Total variation in Y.

Total variation in Y explained by the model (through x)

$$\Rightarrow 1 = \frac{ESS}{TSS} + \frac{RSS}{TSS} \rightarrow \text{unexplained prop.}$$

\hookrightarrow Prop of variation in Y

(TSS) \rightarrow

\rightarrow Prop of variation in Y

explained by the model (through X)

Higher the value of $\left(\frac{ESS}{TSS}\right)$, better is the model fit on the given data.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Goodness of Fit Measure} &= \frac{ESS}{TSS} = \frac{\hat{\beta}^2 \sum x_i^2}{\sum y_i^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{\sum y_i x_i}{\sum x_i^2}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{\sum x_i^2}{\sum y_i^2} \quad (\because \hat{\beta} = \dots) \\ &= \frac{(\sum y_i x_i)^2}{(\sum x_i^2)(\sum y_i^2)} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\sum y_i x_i}{\sqrt{\sum x_i^2} \sqrt{\sum y_i^2}} \right\}^2 = r_{xy}^2 \end{aligned}$$

\therefore Goodness of Fit Measure = R^2 .

Note that: $0 \leq R^2 \leq 1$. Higher value of R^2 , better fit is the model to the data.

Interpretation of estimated parameters:

True Model / PRF: $Y_i = \alpha + \beta X_i + u_i$

Estimated Model / SRE: $\hat{Y}_i = \hat{\alpha} + (\hat{\beta}) X_i \rightarrow$ slope coeff.

Interpret $\hat{\beta}$: $\frac{\partial \hat{Y}_i}{\partial X_i} = \hat{\beta}$ [$\Delta \hat{Y}_i$ due to ΔX_i]

\rightarrow represents change in \hat{Y} due to change in X.

\rightarrow If X changes by 1 unit, then on an avg, how much Y will change.

→ If X changes by 1 unit, then on an avg, how much Y will change.

Q. Consider a firm producing output (q) using only Labour (L) using the prodn fn: $q = \bar{K} L^\beta$. [\bar{K} is the given level of capital in the short run]. A sample of size 'n' is collected on output level & corresponding labour units.

Can we fit the prodn fn to the given data?

$$q = \bar{K} L^\beta$$

Take log: $(\ln q) = (\ln \bar{K}) + \beta (\ln L)$

$\hat{Y} \qquad \hat{\alpha} \qquad \hat{X}$

q	L
q_1	L_1
q_2	L_2
\vdots	\vdots
q_n	L_n

Model to be estimated $\rightarrow Y = \alpha + \beta X + u$, $Y = \ln q$, $X = \ln L$

Estimated model: $\hat{Y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} X$: $\hat{\beta}$ is an estimate of β .
 $\hat{\alpha}$ is an estimate of $\alpha = \ln \bar{K}$
 \therefore Estimate of $\bar{K} = e^{\hat{\alpha}}$