

① Homogeneity of a function.

$$Z = f(\underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2)$$

Say let us multiply x_1 and x_2 by λ proportion, the $f(\lambda \underline{x}_1, \lambda \underline{x}_2) = \lambda^n Z$

Ex 1: Let $Q = 2L + 3K$ is a production function.
Comment on the degree of homogeneity and returns to scale.

Soln: Let us increase L and K by ' λ ' proportion, then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(\lambda L) + 3(\lambda K) \\ &= 2\lambda L + 3\lambda K \\ &= \lambda [2L + 3K] \\ &= \lambda^1 Q \quad [\because Q = 2L + 3K] \end{aligned}$$

\therefore The function is homogeneous of degree 1 and follows constant returns to scale.

Ex 2: $Q = A \cdot L^\alpha \cdot K^\beta$ is our production function.
So comment on degree of homogeneity & Returns to scale.

$A \cdot L^\alpha \cdot K^\beta \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$

$n =$ degree of homogeneity.
Classification of returns to scale.

① $n = 1$: $f(\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2) = \lambda Z$
[CRS \rightarrow Constant Returns to scale]

② $n > 1$: $f(\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2) < \lambda Z$
[IRS \rightarrow Increasing Return to scale]

③ $n < 1$: $f(\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2) > \lambda Z$
[DRS: Decreasing Returns to scale]

Soln.:

$$Q = A L^\alpha K^\beta \rightarrow (1)$$

Suppose 'L' and 'K' is increased by λ proportion, then,

$$\begin{aligned} &= A (\lambda L)^\alpha (\lambda K)^\beta \\ &= A \lambda^\alpha L^\alpha \lambda^\beta K^\beta \\ &= \lambda^{\alpha+\beta} (A L^\alpha K^\beta) \end{aligned}$$

From (1), $\lambda^{\alpha+\beta} \cdot Q$

\therefore Degree of homogeneity is $\alpha+\beta$.

(i) If $\alpha+\beta > 1 \rightarrow$ IRS

(ii) $\alpha+\beta < 1 \rightarrow$ DRS

(iii) $\alpha+\beta = 1 \rightarrow$ CRS

Euler's theorem:

$Z = f(x_1, x_2)$ with degree of homogeneity 'n'.

Then Euler's theorem states that,

$$f_1 \cdot x_1 + f_2 \cdot x_2 = nZ$$

Ex 3: $Q = A L^\alpha K^\beta$. Verify Euler's theorem.

From ex 2 \Rightarrow degree of homogeneity is $(\alpha+\beta)$.

from ex2 \Rightarrow degree of homogeneity
 To prove Euler's theorem we need

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial L} \cdot L + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial K} \cdot K = (\alpha + \beta) Q$$

LHS:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial Q}{\partial L} \cdot L + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial K} \cdot K \\ &= A \alpha L^{\alpha-1} K^\beta \cdot L + A L^\alpha \beta K^{\beta-1} \cdot K \\ &= \underbrace{A \alpha L^\alpha K^\beta} + \underbrace{A \beta L^\alpha K^\beta} \\ &= \underbrace{A L^\alpha K^\beta} (\alpha + \beta) \\ &= Q (\alpha + \beta) \\ &= \text{RHS} \end{aligned}$$

verified

Ex4: $Q = 75 [0.3 K^{-0.4} + 0.7 L^{-0.4}]^{-2.5}$. Verify Euler's theorem.

Solution: increase 'K' and 'L' by ' λ ' proportion,
 we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & 75 [0.3 (\lambda K)^{-0.4} + 0.7 (\lambda L)^{-0.4}]^{-2.5} \\ &= 75 \left[0.3 \underbrace{\lambda^{-0.4}} K^{-0.4} + 0.7 \underbrace{\lambda^{-0.4}} L^{-0.4} \right]^{-2.5} \\ &= \underbrace{(75)} \lambda^{-0.4(-2.5)} [0.3 K^{-0.4} + 0.7 L^{-0.4}]^{-2.5} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \textcircled{75} \lambda^{-0.4(-2.5)} \left[0.3K^{-0.4} + 0.7L^{-0.4} \right]$$

$$= \textcircled{\lambda \cdot Q}$$

↳ degree of homogeneity is 1.

Euler's theorem states that.

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial L} \cdot L + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial K} \cdot K = 1 \cdot Q$$

$$\text{LHS: } \frac{\partial Q}{\partial L} \cdot L + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial K} \cdot K$$

$$= \left\{ 75 \times (-2.5) \left[0.3K^{-0.4} + 0.7L^{-0.4} \right]^{-3.5} \cdot L \right\}$$

$$+ \left\{ 75 \times (-2.5) \left[0.3K^{-0.4} + 0.7L^{-0.4} \right]^{-3.5} \cdot K \right\}$$

$$= 75(-2.5) \left[0.3K^{-0.4} + 0.7L^{-0.4} \right]^{-3.5} \left[-0.28L^{-0.4} - 0.12K^{-0.4} \right]$$

$$= 75(-2.5)(-0.4) \left[0.3K^{-0.4} + 0.7L^{-0.4} \right]^{-2.5}$$

$$75 \left[0.3K^{-0.4} + 0.7L^{-0.4} \right]^{-2.5}$$

$$= 75 [0.3 K^{-0.4} + 0.7 L^{-1}]$$

$$= 1.0$$

$$= \text{RHS}$$

Verified

Maximisation/Minimisation for 2-variable functions.

$$\text{i.e. } \boxed{z = f(x_1, x_2)}$$

Maximisation.

Minimisation.

F.O.C: $f_1 = 0, f_2 = 0$

$$f_1 = 0, f_2 = 0$$

S.O.C: $\Rightarrow f_{11} < 0, f_{22} < 0$

$$\Rightarrow f_{11} > 0, f_{22} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{11} \cdot f_{22} > f_{12}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow f_{11} \cdot f_{22} > f_{12}^2$$

Ex:

Find the Stationary value & test the nature of x and y for the following f :

$$z = 3x^2 + 6xy + 7y^2$$

Soln F.O.C $f_x = 6x + 6y = 0$ — (1)

$f_y = 6x + 14y = 0$ — (2)

Solving (1) and (2),
$$\begin{array}{r} 6x + 6y = 0 \\ - \quad 6x + 14y = 0 \\ \hline - 8y = 0 \end{array}$$

S.O.C

$f_{xx} = 6 > 0, f_{yy} = 14 > 0$

$f_{xy} = 6$

$f_{xx} \cdot f_{yy} = 14 \times 6 = 84 > 6^2$

\therefore S.O.C for minimisation is satisfied
 \therefore at $x=0, y=0 \rightarrow z$ is minimised.
(Ans)

Ex: A bi-product firm produces two commodities x and y , prices of which are 12 and 18 resp. The joint cost fn is $C = 2x^2 + xy + 2y^2$. Obtain the optimal level of its products which maximise profit.

Solution

Due to the ques, $TR, R_w, TR = 12x + 18y$ — (1)

and, $TC, C = 2x^2 + xy + 2y^2$ — (2)

\therefore Profit function, $\Pi = TR - TC$

$\Pi = (12x + 18y) - (2x^2 + xy + 2y^2)$

... 100giv 0

$$\pi = (12x + 18y) - (2x^2 + xy + 2y^2)$$

$$\pi = 12x + 18y - 2x^2 - xy - 2y^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \pi_x &= 12 - 4x - y = 0 & - \textcircled{1} \times 4 &\rightarrow 16x + 4y = 48 \\ \pi_y &= 18 - x - 4y = 0 & - \textcircled{2} &\rightarrow x + 4y = 18 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} - \\ + \\ \hline 15x = 30 \end{array}$$

S.O.C

$$\pi_{xx} = -4 < 0 \quad \pi_{yy} = -4 < 0$$

$$\pi_{xy} = -1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \pi_{xx} \cdot \pi_{yy} &= 16 > (-1)^2 \\ \text{i.e. } \pi_{xx} \pi_{yy} &> \pi_{xy}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore x &= 2 \text{ units} \\ \Rightarrow y &= 4 \text{ units} \end{aligned}$$

\therefore S.O.C is satisfied for maximisation.

\therefore At $x=2$ units and $y=4$ units
firm can maximise its profit.